

.....  
(Original Signature of Member)

109<sup>TH</sup> CONGRESS  
2<sup>D</sup> SESSION

**H. R.** \_\_\_\_\_

To amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for the licensing of comparable biological products, and for other purposes.

\_\_\_\_\_  
**IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

Mr. WAXMAN (for himself, [see ATTACHED LIST of cosponsors]) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on

\_\_\_\_\_  
**A BILL**

To amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for the licensing of comparable biological products, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Access to Life-Saving  
5 Medicine Act”.

1 **SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.**

2 Section 351(i) of the Public Health Service Act (42  
3 U.S.C. 262(i)) is amended—

4 (1) by striking “In this section, the term ‘bio-  
5 logical product’ means” and inserting the following:

6 “In this section:

7 “(1) The term ‘biological product’ means”; and

8 (2) by adding at the end the following:

9 “(2) The term ‘comparable biological product  
10 application’ means an abbreviated application for a  
11 license of a biological product containing the same,  
12 or similar, active ingredient as a biological product  
13 for which a license has been approved under sub-  
14 section (a). A comparable biologic application is a  
15 human drug application under section 735(1)(C) of  
16 the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

17 “(3) The term ‘reference product’ under this  
18 Act means the single licensed biological product, ap-  
19 proved under subsection (a) or subsection (k),  
20 against which a comparable biological product is  
21 evaluated for demonstration of safety, potency, or  
22 purity.

23 “(4) The term ‘comparable’ in reference to a  
24 comparable biological product application means the  
25 absence of clinically meaningful differences between  
26 the comparable biological product and the reference

1 product in terms of the safety, purity, and potency  
2 of the product based upon—

3 “(A) data derived from chemical, physical,  
4 and biological assays, other non-clinical labora-  
5 tory studies; and

6 “(B) data from any necessary clinical  
7 study or studies sufficient to confirm safety,  
8 purity, and potency in one or more appropriate  
9 conditions of use for which the reference prod-  
10 uct is licensed and intended to be used.

11 Any studies under subparagraph (B) shall be de-  
12 signed to avoid duplicative and unethical clinical  
13 testing.

14 “(5) The term ‘thorough characterization’  
15 means an analysis of structural features based upon  
16 appropriate analytical and functional testing suffi-  
17 cient to identify differences between a new and ref-  
18 erence biological product relevant to safety, purity or  
19 potency.

20 “(6) The term ‘interchangeable’ means that a  
21 biological product contains an active ingredient or  
22 ingredients with principal molecular structural fea-  
23 tures comparable to the reference product, and that  
24 the comparable biological product can be expected to  
25 produce the same clinical result as the reference

1 product in any given patient in the condition or con-  
2 ditions of use for which both products are labeled.

3 “(7) The term ‘process for the review of a com-  
4 parable biological product application’ means, with  
5 respect to a comparable biological product applica-  
6 tion, the procedural activities of the Secretary with  
7 respect to the review of human drug applications  
8 and supplements as defined in section 735(6) of the  
9 Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, except as  
10 otherwise defined herein.

11 “(8) The term ‘final action’ means, with respect  
12 to a comparable biological product application, the  
13 Secretary’s issuance on the final action date of a  
14 final action letter to the sponsor of a comparable bi-  
15 ological product application under this Act which—

16 “(A) approves the application, or

17 “(B) disapproves the application and sets  
18 forth in detail an enumeration of the specific  
19 deficiencies in the particular application and of  
20 the specific, enumerated actions the sponsor  
21 would be required to take in order for the spon-  
22 sor to receive a final action letter that approves  
23 such application.

24 “(9) The term ‘final action date’ means, with  
25 respect to an abbreviated comparable biological

1 product application, the date that is eight calendar  
2 months following the sponsor's submission of such  
3 application, or 180 days following the Secretary's  
4 notification of the sponsor that its application has  
5 been accepted for filing, whichever is earlier, except  
6 that the final action date hereunder may be ex-  
7 tended for such period of time as is agreed to by the  
8 Secretary and the sponsor of such application in a  
9 jointly executed written agreement that is counter-  
10 signed by the Secretary and the sponsor of such ap-  
11 plication no later than 30 days prior to the final ac-  
12 tion date provided for by this subsection.

13 “(10) The term ‘reviewing division’ means the  
14 division responsible for the review of an application  
15 for approval of a biological product (including all sci-  
16 entific and medical matters, chemistry, manufac-  
17 turing, and controls).”

18 **SEC. 3. REGULATION OF CERTAIN BIOLOGICAL PRODUCTS.**

19 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 351 of the Public Health  
20 Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262) is amended—

21 (1) in subsection (a)(1)(A), by inserting after  
22 “biologics license” the following: “, or comparable  
23 biologics license,”; and

24 (2) by adding at the end the following sub-  
25 section:

1       “(k) REGULATION OF COMPARABLE BIOLOGICAL  
2 PRODUCTS.—

3               “(1) SUBMISSION OF A COMPARABLE BIOLOGI-  
4 CAL PRODUCT APPLICATION.—Any person may file  
5 with the Secretary an abbreviated comparable bio-  
6 logical product application that includes the fol-  
7 lowing:

8                       “(A) Data demonstrating that the com-  
9 parable biological product is comparable to the  
10 reference product.

11                      “(B) Data demonstrating that the com-  
12 parable biological product and reference product  
13 contain comparable principal molecular struc-  
14 tural features as demonstrated by thorough  
15 characterization of the two products, notwith-  
16 standing minor differences in heterogeneity pro-  
17 file, impurities, or degradation patterns. The  
18 Secretary shall find the following types of prod-  
19 ucts to contain comparable principal molecular  
20 structural features:

21                               “(i) Two protein biological products  
22 with differences in structure between them  
23 solely due to post-translational events, infi-  
24 delity of translation or transcription, or  
25 minor differences in amino acid sequence.

1           “(ii) Two polysaccharide biological  
2 products with similar saccharide repeating  
3 units, even if the number of units differ  
4 and even if there are differences in post-  
5 polymerization modifications.

6           “(iii) Two glycosylated protein prod-  
7 ucts with differences in structure between  
8 them solely due to post-translational  
9 events, infidelity of translation or tran-  
10 scription, or minor differences in amino  
11 acid sequence, and if they had similar sac-  
12 charide repeating units, even if the number  
13 of units differ and even if there were dif-  
14 ferences in post-polymerization.

15           “(iv) Two polynucleotide biological  
16 products with identical sequence of purine  
17 and pyrimidine bases (or their derivatives)  
18 bound to an identical sugar backbone (ri-  
19 bose, deoxyribose, or modifications of these  
20 sugars).

21           “(v) Closely related, complex partly  
22 definable biological products with similar  
23 therapeutic intent, such as two live viral  
24 products for the same indication.

1           The principal molecular structural features of  
2           two biological products not enumerated in the  
3           foregoing clauses may be demonstrated to be  
4           comparable based upon such data and other in-  
5           formation characterizing the two products as  
6           the Secretary determines to be necessary.

7           “(C) Data demonstrating that the com-  
8           parable biological product and reference product  
9           utilize the same mechanism or mechanisms of  
10          action for the conditions of use prescribed, rec-  
11          ommended, or suggested in the proposed label-  
12          ing, but only to the extent the mechanism or  
13          mechanisms of action are known for the ref-  
14          erence product.

15          “(D) Information to show that the condi-  
16          tion or conditions of use prescribed, rec-  
17          ommended, or suggested in the labeling pro-  
18          posed for the comparable biological product  
19          have been previously approved for the reference  
20          product.

21          “(E) Information to show that the route of  
22          administration, the dosage form, and the  
23          strength of the comparable biological product  
24          are the same as those of the reference product.

1           “(F) Data demonstrating that the facility  
2           in which the comparable biological product is  
3           manufactured, processed, packed, or held meets  
4           standards designed to assure that the com-  
5           parable biological product continues to be safe,  
6           pure, and potent.

7           “(G) At the applicant’s option, publicly-  
8           available information regarding the Secretary’s  
9           previous determination that the reference prod-  
10          uct is safe, pure, and potent.

11          “(H) Any additional data and information  
12          in support of the application, including publicly-  
13          available information with respect to the ref-  
14          erence product or another biological product.

15          “(2) OTHER APPLICATIONS.—A person who has  
16          not conducted and does not have a right of reference  
17          to the studies in the application for a reference prod-  
18          uct may submit an application under this section for  
19          a biologic product that differs from, or incorporates  
20          a change to, the reference product with respect to  
21          one or more characteristics described in subpara-  
22          graphs (A) through (E) of paragraph (1), including  
23          a difference in safety, purity, or potency, so long as  
24          the application contains sufficient information to es-  
25          tablish the safety, purity, and potency of the biologi-

1 cal product relative to the reference product for its  
2 proposed condition or conditions of use.

3 “(3) POSTMARKETING STUDIES.—If the Sec-  
4 retary has agreed with the sponsor of the reference  
5 product that the sponsor shall conduct one or more  
6 postmarketing safety studies, the applicant may  
7 agree with the Secretary to conduct a similar post-  
8 marketing safety study or studies upon a reasonable  
9 showing that such study or studies would provide  
10 relevant information not available from the studies  
11 on the reference product. The Secretary shall not, as  
12 a condition of approval, propose any additional post-  
13 marketing studies.

14 “(4) FDA REVIEW OF COMPARABLE BIOLOGI-  
15 CAL PRODUCT APPLICATIONS.—

16 “(A) GUIDANCE REGARDING REVIEW OF  
17 APPLICATIONS.—The Secretary shall issue guid-  
18 ance for the individuals who review applications  
19 submitted under paragraph (1) or (2), which  
20 shall relate to promptness in conducting the re-  
21 view, technical excellence, lack of bias and con-  
22 flict of interest, and knowledge of regulatory  
23 and scientific standards, and which shall apply  
24 equally to all individuals who review such appli-  
25 cations.

1           “(B) MEETINGS WITH SPONSORS AND AP-  
2           PLICANTS.—The Secretary shall meet with a  
3           sponsor of an investigation or an applicant for  
4           approval of a comparable biological product  
5           under this subsection if the sponsor or appli-  
6           cant makes a reasonable written request for a  
7           meeting for the purpose of reaching agreement  
8           on the design and size of studies needed for ap-  
9           proval of such application. The sponsor or ap-  
10          plicant shall provide information necessary for  
11          discussion and agreement on the design and  
12          size of such studies. Minutes of any such meet-  
13          ing shall be prepared by the Secretary and  
14          made available to the sponsor or applicant.

15          “(C) AGREEMENTS.—Any agreement re-  
16          garding the parameters of design and size of  
17          the studies of a biological product under this  
18          paragraph that is reached between the Sec-  
19          retary and a sponsor or applicant shall be re-  
20          duced to writing and made part of the adminis-  
21          trative record by the Secretary. Such agreement  
22          shall not be changed after the testing begins,  
23          except—

24                       “(i) with the written agreement of the  
25                       sponsor or applicant; or

1           “(ii) pursuant to a decision, made in  
2           accordance with subparagraph (D) by the  
3           director of the reviewing division, that a  
4           substantial scientific issue essential to de-  
5           termining the safety, purity, and potency  
6           of the biological product has been identi-  
7           fied after the testing has begun.

8           “(D) PROCEDURE REGARDING CERTAIN  
9           DECISIONS.—A decision under subparagraph  
10          (C)(ii) by the director shall be in writing and  
11          the Secretary shall provide to the sponsor or  
12          applicant an opportunity for a meeting at which  
13          the director and the sponsor or applicant will be  
14          present and at which the director will document  
15          the scientific issue involved.

16          “(E) EFFECT OF DECISIONS.—The written  
17          decisions of the reviewing division shall be bind-  
18          ing upon, and may not directly or indirectly be  
19          changed by, the field or compliance office per-  
20          sonnel unless such field or compliance office  
21          personnel demonstrate to the reviewing division  
22          why such decision should be modified.

23          “(F) DELAYS BY REVIEWING DIVISIONS.—  
24          No action by the reviewing division may be de-  
25          layed because of the unavailability of informa-

1           tion from or action by field personnel unless the  
2           reviewing division determines that a delay is  
3           necessary to assure the marketing of a safe,  
4           pure, and potent biological product.

5           “(5) APPROVAL OF COMPARABLE BIOLOGICAL  
6           PRODUCTS.—The Secretary shall review the informa-  
7           tion submitted in the application and any other in-  
8           formation available to the Secretary and shall issue,  
9           subject to paragraph (9), a comparable biological  
10          product license for all conditions of use of the ref-  
11          erence product sharing the same mechanism of ac-  
12          tion for which the applicant has demonstrated com-  
13          parability for a single condition of use, or, if the  
14          mechanism of action is unknown, for the condition  
15          or conditions of use for which the data submitted es-  
16          tablishes comparability, unless the Secretary finds  
17          and informs the applicant that—

18                 “(A) information submitted in the applica-  
19                 tion or any other information available to the  
20                 Secretary is insufficient to show that the com-  
21                 parable biological product and the reference  
22                 product contain comparable principal molecular  
23                 structural features as demonstrated by thor-  
24                 ough characterization of the two products;

1           “(B) information submitted in the applica-  
2           tion or any other information available to the  
3           Secretary is insufficient to show that the com-  
4           parable biological product is comparable to the  
5           reference product for the condition or condi-  
6           tions of use prescribed, recommended, or sug-  
7           gested in the labeling proposed in the applica-  
8           tion;

9           “(C) information submitted in the applica-  
10          tion or any other information available to the  
11          Secretary is insufficient to show that the com-  
12          parable biological product and reference product  
13          utilize the same mechanism or mechanisms of  
14          action for the conditions of use prescribed, rec-  
15          ommended, or suggested in the labeling pro-  
16          posed for the comparable biological product, un-  
17          less the mechanism or mechanisms of action are  
18          not known for the reference product for such  
19          condition or conditions;

20          “(D) information submitted in the applica-  
21          tion or any other information available to the  
22          Secretary is insufficient to show that the route  
23          of administration, the dosage form, and the  
24          strength of the comparable biological product  
25          are the same as those of the reference product;

1           “(E) information submitted in the applica-  
2           tion or any other information available to the  
3           Secretary is insufficient to show that the condi-  
4           tion or conditions of use prescribed, rec-  
5           ommended, or suggested in the labeling pro-  
6           posed for the comparable biological product are  
7           limited to one or more of the same use or uses  
8           as have been previously approved for the ref-  
9           erence product;

10           “(F) information submitted in the applica-  
11           tion or any other information available to the  
12           Secretary shows (i) the inactive ingredients of  
13           the comparable biological product are unsafe for  
14           use under the conditions prescribed, rec-  
15           ommended, or suggested in the labeling pro-  
16           posed for the biological product, or (ii) the com-  
17           position of the comparable biological product is  
18           unsafe under such conditions because of the  
19           type or quantity of inactive ingredients included  
20           or the manner in which the inactive ingredients  
21           are included;

22           “(G) information submitted in the applica-  
23           tion or any other information available to the  
24           Secretary fails to demonstrate that the facility  
25           in which the comparable biological product is

1 manufactured, processed, packed, or held meets  
2 standards designed to assure that the com-  
3 parable biological product continues to be safe,  
4 pure, and potent;

5 “(H) the Secretary has withdrawn or sus-  
6 pended the license of the reference product, for  
7 safety or effectiveness reasons, or has published  
8 a notice of opportunity for hearing to withdraw  
9 such license for safety or effectiveness reasons,  
10 or the Secretary has determined that the ref-  
11 erence product has been withdrawn from sale  
12 for safety or effectiveness reasons; or

13 “(I) the application contains an untrue  
14 statement of material fact; and  
15 provides the applicant with a detailed explanation  
16 for the decision.

17 “(6) OTHER APPROVAL PROVISIONS.—The Sec-  
18 retary shall approve, under the provisions of para-  
19 graph (5), an application for a license submitted  
20 under paragraph (2), except that the Secretary shall  
21 approve such an application that would otherwise be  
22 disapproved by reason of one or more of subpara-  
23 graphs (A) through (E) of paragraph (5), if the ap-  
24 plication and any other information available to the  
25 Secretary contains sufficient information to establish

1 the safety, purity, and potency of the comparable bi-  
2 ological product relative to the reference product for  
3 the proposed condition or conditions of use for such  
4 product.

5 “(7) INTERCHANGEABILITY DETERMINATIONS  
6 FOR COMPARABLE BIOLOGICAL PRODUCTS.—An ap-  
7 plicant may request in an original application or  
8 supplement to an application that the Secretary  
9 make a determination as to the interchangeability of  
10 a comparable biological product and the reference  
11 product. An applicant may withdraw a request for a  
12 determination at any time. A request for an inter-  
13 changeability determination submitted after the fil-  
14 ing of an application shall be considered a major  
15 amendment to the application. In response to such  
16 a request, the Secretary shall, at such time as the  
17 application or supplement is approved, publish a  
18 therapeutic comparability evaluation code indicating  
19 either that the comparable biological product has  
20 been shown to be interchangeable with the reference  
21 product, or that interchangeability has not been es-  
22 tablished. Nothing in this subsection shall be con-  
23 strued to prohibit the Secretary from making a de-  
24 termination of interchangeability at any time after  
25 approval.

1           “(8) INTERCHANGEABILITY LABELING FOR  
2           COMPARABLE BIOLOGICAL PRODUCTS.—Upon a de-  
3           termination of interchangeability under paragraph  
4           (7), the label of the comparable biological product at  
5           the time of licensure may include a statement, if re-  
6           quested by the sponsor, that it is interchangeable  
7           with the biological reference product to which the  
8           sponsor of the comparable biological product applica-  
9           tion has demonstrated comparability to the reference  
10          product for the conditions of use prescribed, rec-  
11          ommended, or suggested in the labeling proposed for  
12          the comparable biological product.

13          “(9) EXCLUSIVITY.—

14                 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any  
15                 other provision of law, the Secretary shall not  
16                 approve a second or subsequent comparable bio-  
17                 logical product application, and no holder of a  
18                 biologic product license approved under sub-  
19                 section (a) shall manufacture, market, sell, or  
20                 distribute a rebranded interchangeable biologic,  
21                 directly or indirectly, or authorize any other  
22                 person to manufacture, market, sell, or dis-  
23                 tribute a rebranded interchangeable biologic  
24                 that is interchangeable with the reference prod-  
25                 uct, until the earlier of—

1                   “(i) 180 days after the first commer-  
2                   cial marketing of the first interchangeable  
3                   comparable biological product to be ap-  
4                   proved as interchangeable for that same  
5                   reference product;

6                   “(ii) one year after—

7                   “(I) a final court decision on all  
8                   patents in suit in an action instituted  
9                   under paragraph (16)(C) against the  
10                  applicant that submitted the applica-  
11                  tion for the first approved inter-  
12                  changeable comparable biological  
13                  product; or

14                  “(II) the dismissal with or with-  
15                  out prejudice of an action instituted  
16                  under paragraph (16)(C) against the  
17                  applicant that submitted the applica-  
18                  tion for the first approved inter-  
19                  changeable comparable biological  
20                  product; or

21                  “(iii)(I) 36 months after approval of  
22                  the first interchangeable comparable bio-  
23                  logical product if the applicant has been  
24                  sued under paragraph (16)(C) and such

1 litigation is still ongoing within such 36-  
2 month period; or

3 “(II) one year after approval in the  
4 event that the first approved interchange-  
5 able comparable applicant has not been  
6 sued under paragraph (16)(C).

7 Notwithstanding the foregoing provision, the  
8 sponsor of a subsequent comparable biological  
9 product application that has demonstrated  
10 interchangeability with the reference product  
11 may elect, at its option, to have the product ap-  
12 proved as a non-interchangeable comparable bi-  
13 ological product whose approval will not be de-  
14 layed by operation of this paragraph. For pur-  
15 poses of this paragraph, the term ‘final court  
16 decision’ means a final decision of a court from  
17 which no appeal (other than a petition to the  
18 United States Supreme Court for a writ of cer-  
19 tiorari) has been or can be taken.

20 “(B) REBRANDED INTERCHANGEABLE  
21 BIOLOGIC.—For purposes of this subsection, the  
22 term ‘rebranded interchangeable biologic’—

23 “(i) means any rebranded inter-  
24 changeable version of a reference product  
25 that the holder of the biological product li-

1                   cense approved under subsection (a) for  
2                   that reference product seeks to commence  
3                   marketing, selling, or distributing, directly  
4                   or indirectly; and

5                   “(ii) does not include any product to  
6                   be marketed, sold, or distributed—

7                   “(I) by an entity eligible for ex-  
8                   clusivity with respect to such product  
9                   under this paragraph; or

10                  “(II) after expiration of any ex-  
11                  clusivity with respect to such product  
12                  under this paragraph.

13                  “(10) HEARING.—If the Secretary decides to  
14                  disapprove a comparable biological product applica-  
15                  tion, the Secretary shall give the applicant notice of  
16                  an opportunity for a hearing before the Secretary on  
17                  the question of whether such application is approv-  
18                  able. If the applicant elects to accept the opportunity  
19                  for hearing by written request within thirty days  
20                  after such notice, such hearing shall commence not  
21                  more than ninety days after the expiration of such  
22                  thirty days unless the Secretary and the applicant  
23                  otherwise agree. Any such hearing shall thereafter  
24                  be conducted on an expedited basis and the Sec-  
25                  retary’s order thereon shall be issued within ninety

1 days after the date fixed by the Secretary for filing  
2 final briefs.

3 “(11) FINAL ACTION DATE.—The Secretary  
4 shall take a final action on a comparable biological  
5 product application by the final action date.

6 “(12) REQUEST FOR DELAY OF FINAL AC-  
7 TION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law,  
8 the Secretary shall not fail or refuse to take a final  
9 action on a comparable biological product application  
10 by the final action date on the basis that a person,  
11 other than the sponsor of the comparable biological  
12 product, has requested (in a petition or otherwise)  
13 that the Secretary refuse to take or otherwise defer  
14 such final action, and no court shall enjoin the Sec-  
15 retary from taking final action or stay the effect of  
16 final action previously taken by the Secretary, except  
17 by issuance of a permanent injunction based upon  
18 an express finding of clear and convincing evidence  
19 that the person seeking to have the Secretary refuse  
20 to take or otherwise to deter final action by the final  
21 action date—

22 “(A) has prevailed on the merits of the  
23 person’s complaint against the Secretary;

24 “(B) will suffer imminent and actual irrep-  
25 arable injury, constituting more than irrecover-

1           able economic loss, and that also will threaten  
2           imminent destruction of such person's business;  
3           and

4                   “(C) has an interest that outweighs the  
5           overwhelming interest that the public has in ob-  
6           taining prompt access to a comparable biologi-  
7           cal product.

8           “(13) REPORT ON EXTENSIONS OF FINAL AC-  
9           TION DATE.—The Secretary shall prepare and sub-  
10          mit to the President, the Committee on Energy and  
11          Commerce of the House of Representatives, and the  
12          Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pen-  
13          sions of the Senate a report regarding any jointly  
14          executed written agreement to extend the final ac-  
15          tion date under this Act within 15 calendar days of  
16          the joint execution of any such written agreement.

17          “(14) REPORT ON FAILURE TO TAKE FINAL AC-  
18          TION.—The Secretary shall prepare and submit an-  
19          nually to the President, the Committee on Energy  
20          and Commerce of the House of Representatives, and  
21          the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and  
22          Pensions of the Senate a report detailing the specific  
23          and particularized reasons enumerated by the Re-  
24          viewing Division for each instance of the Secretary's

1 failure to take final action by the final action date  
2 in the previous year.

3 “(15) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall es-  
4 tablish, by regulation within 2 years after the date  
5 of the enactment of this subsection, requirements for  
6 the efficient review, approval, suspension, and rev-  
7 ocation of comparable biological product applications  
8 under this subsection.

9 “(16) PATENTS.—

10 “(A) REQUEST FOR PATENT INFORMA-  
11 TION.—

12 “(i) IN GENERAL.—At any time, in-  
13 cluding at the initial stages of develop-  
14 ment, an applicant or a prospective appli-  
15 cant may send a written request for patent  
16 information to the holder of the approved  
17 application for the reference product.  
18 Within 60 days of receipt of such request,  
19 the holder of the approved application for  
20 the reference product shall provide to the  
21 applicant or prospective applicant a list of  
22 all patents owned by, or licensed to, the  
23 holder of the approved application that the  
24 application holder in good faith believes re-  
25 late to the reference product, including

1 patents that claim the approved biologic  
2 product, any method of using such prod-  
3 uct, any component of such product, or  
4 any method or process of manufacturing  
5 such product or component.

6 “(ii) COSTS OF COMPLYING WITH RE-  
7 QUEST.—The application holder may de-  
8 mand payment not exceeding \$1,000 to  
9 offset the cost of responding to the infor-  
10 mation request.

11 “(iii) UPDATES.—For a period of two  
12 years from the date of the request for in-  
13 formation, the holder of the approved ap-  
14 plication for the reference product shall  
15 update its response to the request for in-  
16 formation by identifying newly issued or li-  
17 censed relevant patents. The updates must  
18 be provided within 30 days of patent  
19 issuance, for newly issued patents, and  
20 within 30 days of obtaining a license, for  
21 newly licensed patents.

22 “(iv) ADDITIONAL REQUESTS.—The  
23 applicant may submit additional requests  
24 for patent information, subject to the re-  
25 quirements of this paragraph, at any time.

1           “(B) PATENT NOTIFICATIONS.—At any  
2 time after the submission of the application, the  
3 applicant may provide a notice under this sub-  
4 paragraph with respect to any one or more pat-  
5 ents provided by the holder of the reference  
6 product provided in response to a request under  
7 this paragraph. An applicant may submit addi-  
8 tional notices at any time, and each notice shall  
9 be subject to the provisions of this subpara-  
10 graph. Each notice shall—

11                   “(i) be sent to the holder of approved  
12 application for the reference product and  
13 to the owner of the patent identified pursu-  
14 ant to subparagraph (A)(i);

15                   “(ii) include a detailed statement of  
16 the factual and legal bases for the appli-  
17 cant’s belief that the patents included in  
18 the notice are invalid, unenforceable, or  
19 will not be infringed by the commercial  
20 sale of the product for which approval is or  
21 has been sought; and

22                   “(iii) identify the judicial district or  
23 districts in which the applicant consents to  
24 suit being brought in response to the no-  
25 tice.

1 “(C) ACTION FOR INFRINGEMENT.—

2 “(i) TIMEFRAME FOR BRINGING AC-  
3 TION.—Within 45 days of receipt of notice  
4 described in subparagraph (B), the holder  
5 of the approved application for the ref-  
6 erence product, or the owner of the patent,  
7 may bring an action infringement solely  
8 with respect to the patent or patents in-  
9 cluded in such notice.

10 “(ii) APPROPRIATE JUDICIAL DIS-  
11 TRICT.—Notwithstanding section 1391 of  
12 title 28, United States Code, an infringe-  
13 ment action brought within the 45-day pe-  
14 riod referenced in clause (i) may be  
15 brought only in the judicial district identi-  
16 fied pursuant to subparagraph (B)(iii).

17 “(D) LIMITATION ON DECLARATORY JUDG-  
18 MENT ACTIONS.—No action may be brought  
19 under section 2201 of title 28, United States  
20 Code by the recipient of a notice under sub-  
21 paragraph (B) for a declaration of infringe-  
22 ment, validity, or enforceability with respect to  
23 any patent which was not identified in the no-  
24 tice, and with respect to the application under  
25 which the notice was sent, or with respect to

1 the product of that application, prior to the  
2 commercial marketing of that product. With re-  
3 spect to a patent identified in the notice, not-  
4 withstanding section 1391 of title 28, any such  
5 action may be brought only in the judicial dis-  
6 tricts identified in the notice.

7 “(E) DISCRETION OF APPLICANTS.—A  
8 comparable biological product applicant may  
9 not be compelled, by court order or otherwise,  
10 to initiate the procedures set forth in this para-  
11 graph. The decision as to whether to invoke the  
12 procedures set forth in this paragraph is left  
13 entirely to the discretion of the applicant or  
14 prospective applicant.

15 “(17) PETITIONS AND CIVIL ACTIONS REGARD-  
16 ING APPROVAL OF CERTAIN APPLICATIONS.—

17 “(A) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a  
18 pending application submitted under paragraph  
19 (1) or (2), if a petition is submitted to the Sec-  
20 retary that seeks to have the Secretary take, or  
21 refrain from taking, any form of action relating  
22 to the approval of the application, including a  
23 delay in the effective date of the application,  
24 the following applies, subject to subparagraph  
25 (E):

1           “(i) (I) In the case of an application  
2           under paragraph (2), the Secretary may  
3           not, subject to subclause (III), consider the  
4           petition if it is submitted later than 180  
5           days prior to the date on which the ap-  
6           proval of the application may first be made  
7           effective.

8           “(II) In the case of an application  
9           under paragraph (1), the Secretary may  
10          not, subject to subclause (III), consider the  
11          petition if it is submitted later than 180  
12          days prior to the date on which the ap-  
13          proval of the application may first be made  
14          effective.

15          “(III) The restriction established in  
16          subclause (I) or (II) (as the case may be)  
17          does not apply to the petition if the Sec-  
18          retary determines that the petitioner has  
19          shown good cause for the failure to submit  
20          the petition by the applicable date under  
21          such subclause.

22          “(ii)(I) The Secretary may not, on the  
23          basis of the petition, delay approval of the  
24          application unless the Secretary determines  
25          that a delay is necessary to protect the

1 public health. Consideration of a petition  
2 shall be separate and apart from the re-  
3 view and approval of the application.

4 “(II) With respect to a determination  
5 by the Secretary under subclause (I) that  
6 a delay is necessary to protect the public  
7 health:

8 “(aa) The Secretary shall publish  
9 on the Internet site of the Food and  
10 Drug Administration a statement pro-  
11 viding the reasons underlying the de-  
12 termination.

13 “(bb) Not later than 10 days  
14 after making the determination, the  
15 Secretary shall provide notice to the  
16 sponsor of the application and an op-  
17 portunity for a meeting with the Com-  
18 missioner to discuss the determina-  
19 tion.

20 “(iii) The Secretary shall take final  
21 agency action on the petition not later  
22 than 180 days after the date on which the  
23 petition is submitted. The Secretary shall  
24 not extend such period, even with the con-  
25 sent of the petitioner, for any reason, in-

1 cluding based upon the submission of com-  
2 ments relating to the petition or supple-  
3 mental information supplied by the peti-  
4 tioner.

5 “(iv) The Secretary may not consider  
6 the petition for review unless it is signed  
7 and contains the following verification: ‘I  
8 certify that, to my best knowledge and be-  
9 lief: (a) this petition includes all informa-  
10 tion and views upon which the petition re-  
11 lies; (b) this petition includes representa-  
12 tive data and/or information known to the  
13 petitioner which are unfavorable to the pe-  
14 tition; and (c) I have taken reasonable  
15 steps to ensure that any representative  
16 data and/or information which are unfavor-  
17 able to the petition were disclosed to me.  
18 I further certify that the information upon  
19 which I have based the action requested  
20 herein first became known to the party on  
21 whose behalf this petition is submitted on  
22 or about the following date:  
23 \_\_\_\_\_ . I received or expect to  
24 receive payments, including cash and other  
25 forms of consideration, from the following

1 persons or organizations to file this peti-  
2 tion: \_\_\_\_\_. I verify under  
3 penalty of perjury that the foregoing is  
4 true and correct.’.

5 “(B) EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE  
6 REMEDIES.—

7 “(i) FINAL AGENCY ACTION WITHIN  
8 180 DAYS.—The Secretary shall be consid-  
9 ered to have taken final agency action on  
10 a petition referred to in subparagraph (A)  
11 if—

12 “(I) during the 180-day period  
13 referred to in clause (iii) of such sub-  
14 paragraph, the Secretary makes a  
15 final decision within the meaning of  
16 section 10.45(d) of title 21, Code of  
17 Federal Regulations; or

18 “(II) such period expires without  
19 the Secretary having made such a  
20 final decision.

21 “(ii) DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN CIVIL  
22 ACTIONS.—If a civil action is filed with re-  
23 spect to a petition referred to in subpara-  
24 graph (A) before final agency action within  
25 the meaning of clause (i) has occurred, the

1 court shall dismiss the action for failure to  
2 exhaust administrative remedies.

3 “(C) APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN REGULA-  
4 TIONS.—The provisions of this section are in  
5 addition to the requirements for the submission  
6 of a petition to the Secretary that apply under  
7 section 10.30 or 10.35 of title 21, Code of Fed-  
8 eral Regulations.

9 “(D) ANNUAL REPORT ON DELAYS IN AP-  
10 PROVALS PER PETITIONS.—The Secretary shall  
11 annually submit to the Congress a report that  
12 specifies—

13 “(i) the number of applications under  
14 this subsection that were approved during  
15 the preceding 12-month period;

16 “(ii) the number of such applications  
17 whose effective dates were delayed by peti-  
18 tions referred to in subparagraph (A) dur-  
19 ing such period; and

20 “(iii) the number of days by which the  
21 applications were so delayed.

22 “(E) EXCEPTION.—This paragraph does  
23 not apply to a petition that is made by the  
24 sponsor of an application under this subsection  
25 and that seeks only to have the Secretary take

1 or refrain from taking any form of action with  
2 respect to that application.

3 “(F) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this  
4 paragraph, the term ‘petition’ includes any re-  
5 quest to the Secretary, without regard to  
6 whether the request is characterized as a peti-  
7 tion.”.

8 (b) ADDITIONAL AMENDMENTS.—

9 (1) PATENTS.—Section 271(e) of title 35,  
10 United States Code, is amended—

11 (A) in paragraph (2)—

12 (i) by striking “or” at the end of sub-  
13 paragraph (A);

14 (ii) by adding “or” at the end of sub-  
15 paragraph (B);

16 (iii) by inserting after subparagraph  
17 (B) the following:

18 “(C) a notice described in section  
19 351(k)(16)(B) of the Public Health Service Act, but  
20 only with respect to a patent identified in such a no-  
21 tice,”; and

22 (iv) in the matter after and below sub-  
23 paragraph (C) (as inserted by clause (iii)  
24 of this subparagraph), by inserting before  
25 the period the following: “, or if the notice

1           described in subparagraph (C) is provided  
2           in connection with an application to obtain  
3           a license to engage in the commercial man-  
4           ufacture, use, or sale of a biological prod-  
5           uct claimed in a patent or the use of which  
6           is claimed in a patent before the expiration  
7           of such patent” ; and

8           (B) by adding at the end the following  
9           paragraph:

10          “(5) Notwithstanding the preceding subsection:

11           “(A) A patent that is disclosed in a response to  
12           a request for patent information pursuant to sub-  
13           paragraph (A) of section 351(k)(16) of the Public  
14           Health Service Act with respect to which a notice  
15           was provided pursuant to subparagraph (B) of such  
16           section, and for which an action for infringement of  
17           the patent was (I) brought after the expiration of  
18           the 45-day period described in such subparagraph,  
19           or (II) brought before the expiration of the 45-day  
20           period described in such section 351, but not main-  
21           tained through a final court decision or a dismissal  
22           with prejudice regarding the validity, enforceability,  
23           and/or infringement, the sole and exclusive remedy  
24           which may be granted by a court upon a finding of  
25           infringement of such patent by the person who sub-

1       mitted the notice described in subclause (A), or any  
2       person found to have induced or contributed to such  
3       infringement, shall be a reasonable royalty.

4               “(B) No action for infringement can be brought  
5       under this section against an applicant that sent a  
6       request for patent information pursuant to subpara-  
7       graph (A)(i) of section 351(k)(16) of the Public  
8       Health Service Act by the owner of a patent that  
9       should have been disclosed in response to such a re-  
10      quest, but was not timely disclosed under that sub-  
11      paragraph.’”.

12              (2) TAX CREDIT TESTING TO DEMONSTRATE  
13      INTERCHANGEABILITY.—Subpart A of part IV of  
14      subchapter A of chapter 1 of the Internal Revenue  
15      Code of 1954 (relating to credits allowable) is  
16      amended by inserting after section 45C the following  
17      new section:

18      **“SEC. 45C-1. CLINICAL TESTING EXPENSES FOR CERTAIN**  
19                      **DRUGS TO DEMONSTRATE INTERCHANGE-**  
20                      **ABILITY.**

21              “(a) GENERAL RULE.—There shall be allowed as a  
22      credit against the tax imposed by this chapter for the tax-  
23      able year an amount equal to 50 percent of the qualified  
24      clinical testing expenses for the taxable year.

1 “(b) QUALIFIED CLINICAL TESTING EXPENSES.—

2 For purposes of this section—

3 “(1) QUALIFIED CLINICAL TESTING EX-  
4 PENSES.—

5 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise  
6 provided in this paragraph, the term ‘qualified  
7 clinical testing expenses’ means the amounts  
8 which are paid or incurred by the taxpayer dur-  
9 ing the taxable year which would be described  
10 in subsection (b) of section 41 if such sub-  
11 section were applied with the modifications set  
12 forth in subparagraph (B).

13 “(B) MODIFICATIONS.—For purposes of  
14 subparagraph (A), subsection (b) of section 41  
15 shall be applied—

16 “(i) by substituting ‘clinical testing’  
17 for ‘qualified research’ each place it ap-  
18 pears in paragraphs (2) and (3) of such  
19 subsection, and

20 “(ii) by substituting ‘100 percent’ for  
21 ‘65 percent’ in paragraph (3)(A) of such  
22 subsection.

23 “(D) SPECIAL RULE.—For purposes of  
24 this paragraph, section 41 shall be deemed to

1           remain in effect for periods after December 31,  
2           2006.

3           “(2) CLINICAL TESTING.—The term ‘clinical  
4           testing’ means any human clinical testing which is  
5           carried out under an exemption for a drug being  
6           tested for interchangeability under section 351(k) of  
7           the Public Health Service Act.

8           “(3) SPECIAL LIMITATIONS ON FOREIGN TEST-  
9           ING.—

10           “(A) IN GENERAL.—No credit shall be al-  
11           lowed under this section with respect to any  
12           clinical testing conducted outside the United  
13           States unless—

14                   “(i) such testing is conducted outside  
15                   the United States because there is an in-  
16                   sufficient testing population in the United  
17                   States, and

18                   “(ii) such testing is conducted by a  
19                   United States person or by any other per-  
20                   son who is not related to the taxpayer  
21                   seeking the interchangeable designation  
22                   under section 351(k) of the Public Health  
23                   Service Act.

24           “(B) SPECIAL LIMITATION FOR CORPORA-  
25           TIONS TO WHICH SECTION 936 APPLIES.—No

1 credit shall be allowed under this section with  
2 respect to any clinical testing conducted by a  
3 corporation to which section 934(b) applies or  
4 to which an election under section 936 applies.

5 “(4) CERTAIN RULES MADE APPLICABLE.—  
6 Rules similar to the rules of paragraphs (1) and (2)  
7 of section 41(f) shall apply for purposes of this sec-  
8 tion.

9 “(5) ELECTION.—This section shall apply to  
10 any taxpayer for any taxable year only if such tax-  
11 payer elects (at such time and in such manner as  
12 the Secretary may by regulations prescribe) to have  
13 this section apply for such taxable year.”.

14 (3) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section  
15 2201(b) of title 28, United States Code, is amended  
16 by inserting before the period the following: “, or  
17 section 351 of the Public Health Service Act”.